

Comment on the Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement

This document was received like this from the
I agree with the following statements which I have checked: *U.S.* ☒ *Postal Service*

RECEIVED

JAN 27 2000

- 1 ☒ The No Action Alternatives are not reasonable. The EIS should have a reasonable no action alternative.
 - 2 ☒ The EIS is inadequate because it uses outdated 1990 census data rather than current population data for Nevada.
 - 3 ☒ The analysis of transportation impacts in Nevada is insufficient for making modal, corridor and route decisions.
 - 4 ☒ The floodplain analysis is insufficient for corridor and route selection
 - 5 ☒ The impact of stigma on tourism, recreation and agriculture based economies in Nevada should be analyzed.
 - 6 ☒ The EIS should analyze the impacts of a crash between a military airplane and a nuclear waste rail car.
- ☐ Other _____

Comments:

The Department of Energy's Yucca Mountain Draft Environmental Impact Statement includes an option to construct a rail line from Beowawe to Yucca Mountain through Crescent Valley to transport nuclear waste to Yucca Mountain. DOE is required to consider all comments submitted regarding the impacts of building and operating a repository including transportation.

7 My comments for the record are: *Which part of "No" is not understood by DOE - the "govmint" et al.??*
How many meetings does one need to attend?
Nevada does not want "any" waste of any kind deposited in Nevada!!
8 *WE WANT A MORATORIUM ON ANY FURTHER plans or*
HEARINGS UNTIL The entire STATE of Nevada has
been Archeologically and ENVIRONMENTALLY SITED
To prove Nevada is a suitable Nuclear Dump Site
AND WASTE LAND!

Darlene Clark
P.O. Box 21 1104
Crescent Valley
Nevada 89821 ①